



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations  
of International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T  
Date: 13 January 2016  
Original: English

---

**IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge  
Judge Howard Morrison  
Judge Melville Baird  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Decision of:** 13 January 2016

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ**

*PUBLIC*

---

**DECISION  
ON ACCUSED'S REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE**

---

**Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr. Alan Tieger  
Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

**The Accused**

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

**THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

**BEING SEISED** of the “Request for Status Conference” filed by the Accused on 8 December 2015 (“Request”), wherein he asks the Chamber to convene a status conference in order to discuss his health, conditions of detention, and continuing disclosure violations by the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”);<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the “Prosecution Response to Request for Status Conference” filed on 21 December 2015 (“Response”), in which the Prosecution opposes the Request and submits that the Accused failed to identify any issue that requires resolution by the Chamber through a status conference in relation to the three topics raised by the Accused;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** that the Chamber held a status conference on 29 September 2015, wherein the Accused addressed the Chamber on matters related to his health and conditions of detention;<sup>3</sup>

**RECALLING** once again that disclosure issues are sufficiently litigated in writing in these proceedings and that no need arises for them to be further discussed in an oral hearing;

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber gives the highest regard to the Accused’s health and conditions of detention;

**CONSIDERING** however that the Request does not specify how the Chamber’s intervention during the course of an oral hearing would assist the Accused in any way and what type of remedy is sought from the Chamber;

---

<sup>1</sup> Request, paras. 1, 7–14.

<sup>2</sup> Response, para. 1.

<sup>3</sup> Hearing, T. 48111–48121 (29 September 2015).

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS**

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 54 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence

**HEREBY**

**DENIES** the Request.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.



---

Judge O-Gon Kwon  
Presiding

Dated this thirteenth day of January 2016  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**