# THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

CASE No. MICT-13-33

# THE SINGLE JUDGE

Before:

Judge Aminatta Lois Runeni N'Gum

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

Date Filed:

24 December 2016

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

### JEAN DE DIEU KAMUHANDA

## Public Redacted Version

INTERIM SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RESCIND PROTECTIVE MEASURES FOR DEFENCE WITNESS ALM

Office of the Prosecutor:

Richard Karegyesa Abubacarr Tambadou Sunkarie Ballah-Conteh

Jean de dieu Kamuhanda:

Peter Robinson

Received by the Registry
Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals
24/12/2016 16:45

No. MICT-13-33

- 1. Following the Appeals Chamber's reversal of the *Decision on Motion to Rescind Protective Measures for Defence Witness ALM* (29 March 2016), <sup>1</sup> the Single Judge has ordered Mr. Kamuhanda to "file submissions and supporting information, if any, ...identifying factors that weigh for or against the rescission of Witness ALM's protective measures."
- 2. The Appeals Chamber held that the consent of the witness is not required for rescission of protective measures under Rule 82(A) and that "following the death of a witness who had benefited from protective measures, security concerns may remain for the witness's family. Therefore, the security concerns of members of a deceased witness's family may constitute a relevant consideration in determining whether the protective measures granted to the witness should remain in place or be rescinded..."
- 3. Mr. Kamuhanda is disappointed that the Registrar, having been invited by the Single Judge to "inform me... of any information and in view on the implications that rescinding the protective measures applicable to Witness ALM might have on the deceased witness's immediate family," failed to take even a basic step of verifying that Witness ALM was deceased.<sup>4</sup>
- 4. A simple check of the Tribunal's own TRIM database would have located the death certificate of the witness.<sup>5</sup> Counsel for Mr. Kamuhanda located this reference in about 30 seconds by doing a Google search under the witness' name.
- 5. In addition, a simple review of Mr. Kamuhanda's *Motion to Rescind Protective Measures for Defence Witness ALM* (5 March 2016) would have revealed that members of Witness ALM's family were already aware of his status as a protected witness.<sup>6</sup> It was explicitly stated in the motion that "Mr. Kamuhanda's counsel can provide the WISP with the name and contact information for the son of Witness ALM." Therefore, the Registrar was able to contact the family of Witness ALM without seeking a variation of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision on Appeal of Decision Declining to Rescind Protective Measures for a Deceased Witness (16 November 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order on Remand of a Decision Declining to Rescind Protective Measures for a Deceased Witness (23 November 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Decision on Appeal of Decision Declining to Rescind Protective Measures for a Deceased Witness (16 November 2016) at para. 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Registrar's Submission Pursuant to Order of 23 November 2016 (7 December 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> [REDACTED]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See *Motion*, at para. 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Motion at fn. 1

the protective measures order.

- 6. Finally, the Registrar filed his submission on an *ex parte* and confidential basis because "the present submission contains information pertaining to a protected witness." But, in fact, there is not a single piece of information in the submission concerning the identity of the protected witness nor any reference to any confidential filings or decisions. And, the parties were already aware of the witness' identity. The Registrar's practice of automatically filing all of its submissions *ex parte* and confidential has been disapproved of by other Single Judges, <sup>8</sup> and results in a waste of resources. <sup>9</sup>
- 7. For all of these reasons, Mr. Kamuhanda requests that the Single Judge once again order the WISP to "inform me... of any information and in view on the implications that rescinding the protective measures applicable to Witness ALM might have on the deceased witness's immediate family," after having contacted members of that family. That information should be provided by way of an *inter partes* submission.

# 8. [REDACTED]

- 9. The Single Judge is also requested to order that the *Registrar's Submission*Pursuant to Order of 23 November 2016 (7 December 2016) be further reclassified from confidential to public.
- 10. Mr. Kamuhanda requests the opportunity to make further submissions within seven days of being informed of the results of the WISP's contact with the family members of Witness ALM.

Word count: 731

Respectfully submitted,

PETER ROBINSON Counsel for Jean de dieu Kamuhanda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Decision on a Motion to Reclassify Annex to Registrar's Submissions (7 October 2016); Decision on Motion to Reclassify Registrar's Submissions (5 August 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prosecutor v Karera, No. MICT-12-24-R, Decision on Request for Access (10 August 2016), p. 2



# TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

1 Tiblied IVI GREATHTON / IVI GREATHTON GENERALES				
To/ À:	MICT Registry/ Greft	fe du MTPI	Arusha/ Arusha	☐ The Hague/ La Haye
From/ De:	Chambers/ Chambre	⊠ Defence/ Défense	☐ Prosecution  Bureau du Prod	
Case Name/ Affaire :	Prosecutor v Kamuhanda		Case Number/ MICT 13-33 Affaire n°:	
Date Created/ Daté du :	24 December 2016	Date transmitted/ Transmis le :	24 December 2016	No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages :
Original Language / Langue de l'original :		French/	arwanda 🗌 B/C/S	☐ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Title of Document/ Titre du document :	INTERIM SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RESCIND PROTECTIVE MEASURES FOR DEFENCE WITNESS ALMPUBLIC REDACTED VERSION			
Classification Level/ Catégories de classification :	☐ Unclassified/ Non classifié ☐ Confidential/ Confidentiel ☐ Strictly Confidenti Strictement confiden	☐ Ex Parte F☐ Ex Parte F☐ Ex Parte F☐ Ex Parte A☐ Ex Parte o	R86(H) applicant excludent and curious Curiae excludent there exclusion autre(s	Bureau du Procureur exclu ded/ Art. 86 H) requérant exclu d/ Amicus curiae exclu
Document type/ Type de document :	☐ Motion/ Requête ☐ Decision/ Décision	Submission from Écritures déposées ☐ Submission from Écritures déposées ☐	par des parties non-parties/ par des tiers	☐ Indictment/ Acte d'accusation ☐ Warrant/ Mandat
	☐ Order/ Ordonnance ☐ Judgement/ Jugement/Arrêt	☐ Book of Authoritie Recueil de sources ☐ Affidavit/ Déclaration sous sei		☐ Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel
II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE/ ÉTAT DE LA TRADUCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT				
☐ Translation not required/ La traduction n'est pas requise				
☑Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and requests the Registry to translate/  La partie déposante ne soumet que l'original et sollicite que le Greffe prenne en charge la traduction :  (Word version of the document is attached/ La version Word est jointe)				
☐ English/ Anglais	⊠ Frenc <i>Franç</i> a			☐ Other/ <i>Autre</i> (specify/ <i>préciser</i> ) :
Filing Party hereby submits both the original and the translated version for filing, as follows/ La partie déposante soumet l'original et la version traduite aux fins de dépôt, comme suit :				
Original en Ar	nglish/	ais ,		☐ Other/ <i>Autre</i> (specify/ <i>préciser</i> ) :
	nglish/	_ ,		Other/ <i>Autre</i> (specify/ <i>préciser</i> ) :
Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/ La partie déposante soumettra la (les) version(s) traduite(s) sous peu, dans la (les) langue(s) suivante(s):				
English/ Anglais	mettra la (les) version ☐ Frenci Français		da 🗌 B/C/S [	(s) survante(s) : ☐ Other/Autre (specify/ <i>préciser</i> ) :

Rev: April 2014/Rév.: Avril 2014